

## Paper - II (Analysis I)

Model / Suggestive Answers

Paper Code  
AS-2218

- 1 (i) Given  $f \in RS(\alpha)$  and  $f(x) \geq 0$

Then  $\int_a^b f dx \geq 0$  if  $b \geq a$   
 $\leq 0$  if  $b \leq a$

- (ii) Let  $P^*$  be common refinement of  $P_1$  &  $P_2$  so that

$$P^* = P_1 \cup P_2$$

Now we get

$$L(P_1, f, \alpha) \leq L(P^*, f, \alpha) \leq U(P^*, f, \alpha) \leq U(P_2, f, \alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow L(P_1, f, \alpha) \leq U(P_2, f, \alpha)$$

Keeping  $P_2$  fixed and taking l.u.b. over all partitions

$P_1$ , we get

$$\int_a^b f dx \leq U(P_2, f, \alpha)$$

Taking the g.l.b. over  $P_2$ , we get

$$\int_a^b f dx \leq \underline{\int_a^b f dx}$$

(iii) Algebras of RS-integrable functions:

- (a) If  $f_1 \in RS(\alpha)$ ,  $f_2 \in RS(\alpha)$  on  $[a, b]$ , then

$$f_1 + f_2 \in RS(\alpha) \text{ & } \int_a^b (f_1 + f_2) dx = \int_a^b f_1 dx + \int_a^b f_2 dx$$

- (b) If  $f \in RS(\alpha)$ ,  $c$  is constant; then

$$cf \in RS(\alpha) \text{ and } \int_a^b cf dx = c \int_a^b f dx$$

- (c) If  $f_1 \in RS(\alpha)$ ,  $f_2 \in RS(\alpha)$ ;  $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x)$  on  $[a, b]$ , then

$$\int_a^b f_1 dx \leq \int_a^b f_2 dx$$

(d) If  $f$  is RS integrable on  $[a_1, b]$ , then  
 $|f|$  is also RS integrable on  $[a_1, b]$

(e) If  $f \in RS(a_1)$  and  $f \in RS(a_2)$ , then

$$\int_a^b f(x; a_1 + a_2) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx_1 + \int_a^b f(x) dx_2$$

and many more theorems may be written  
 by students.

(iv)  $f$  is a pointwise limit of a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  defined on  $[a, b]$ , if to each  $\epsilon > 0$ . and to each  $x \in [a, b]$ , there corresponds an integer  $m$  such that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq m$$

(v) Consider the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  as

$$f_n(x) = nx(1-x)^n \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad n=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

For  $0 \leq x < 1$   $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$

at  $x=0$ , each  $f_n(0)=0$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(0)=0$

Thus  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$

$$\therefore \int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$$

$$\text{Again } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{2(n+1)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \right\} \neq \int_0^1 f(x) dx$$

(vi)

$$\text{Given } f_n(x) = \frac{x^{2n}}{1+x^{2n}} = \frac{x^{2n}}{\cancel{x^{2n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^{2n}}\right)}$$

-3-

If  $|x| > 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^{2n}} = 0$ , Now

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \frac{1}{1+0} = 1$$

If  $|x| < 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^{2n}} \Rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \frac{1}{1+\infty} = 0$$

(vii) Nowhere Convergent Series

If in the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , then when

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \infty$  or  $R=0$ , the power series is nowhere convergent

Exp.  $1+x+L^2x^2+L^3x^3+\dots$  does not converge for any value of  $x$  (other than 0). Its radius of convergence  $R=0$ .

(viii) The given series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{L^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \quad (\text{say})$$

$$\text{then } a_n = \frac{1}{L^n}$$

$$\text{Now } R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{L^{n+1}}{L^n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) = \infty$$

The power series converges absolutely for all  $x$

(ix) A power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  is continuous function - 4-  
of  $x$  within its interval of convergence.

The given series is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = 1 + 2 \cdot 3x + 3 \cdot 3^2 x^2 + \dots + n \cdot 3^{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots$$

$$\text{Now } a_n = n \cdot 3^{n-1}$$

$$\therefore R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n \cdot 3^{n-1}}{(n+1) \cdot 3^n} \right| = \frac{1}{3}$$

Thus  $(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$  lies in circle of  $R = \frac{1}{3}$ .

Thus  $f(x)$  is continuous in  $(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ .

### Szász Operators

For  $f \in C[0, \infty)$ , the Szász operator is defined as

$$f(S_nf)(x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

which approximates to  $f \in C[0, \infty)$ .

(2) Let us suppose that  $S(P, f, \alpha)$  exists as

$\mu(P) \rightarrow 0$  and is equal to  $A$ .

Therefore for given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that for every partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$  with mesh  $\mu(P) < \delta$  and for every choice  $t_i$  in  $\Delta x_i$ , we have

$$|S(P, f, \alpha) - A| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

or

$$A - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < S(P, f, \alpha) < A + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Let  $P$  be one such partition. If we let the points  $t_i$  range over the intervals  $\Delta x_i$  and infimum and supremum of sums  $S(P, f, \alpha)$ , (1)

yields

$$A - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(P, f, \alpha) \leq U(P, f, \alpha) < A + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \epsilon$$

$\Rightarrow f \in RS(x)$  on  $[a, b]$ .

Again since  $S(P, f, \alpha)$  and  $\int_a^b f d\alpha$  lie between  $U(P, f, \alpha)$  and  $L(P, f, \alpha)$

$$\therefore |S(P, f, \alpha) - \int_a^b f d\alpha| \leq U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n(P) \rightarrow 0} S(P, f, \alpha) = \int_a^b f d\alpha.$$

Proved

③ Let  $P = \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n}{n} = 1\}$  be partition of  $[0, 1]$

Also let  $I_r = \left[\frac{r-1}{n}, \frac{r}{n}\right]$  be the  $r^{th}$  interval.

$$\text{Then } M_r = \sup_{x \in I_r} f(x) = \frac{r^2}{n^2}$$

$$m_r = \inf_{x \in I_r} f(x) = \frac{(r-1)^2}{n^2}$$

$$\text{Also } \Delta x_r = \alpha(x_r) - \alpha(x_{r-1})$$

$$= \frac{r^2}{n^2} - \frac{(r-1)^2}{n^2} = \frac{2r-1}{n^2}$$

$$\text{Now } U(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{r=1}^n M_r \Delta x_r = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{r^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{2r-1}{n^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^4} \left\{ 2 \sum_{r=1}^n r^3 - \sum_{r=1}^n r^2 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^4} \left\{ 2 \cdot \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} - \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{3n^3 + 4n^2 + 1}{6n^4}$$

$$\therefore \int_0^1 f d\alpha = \inf \{ L(P, f, \alpha) \} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Now  $L(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{r=1}^n m_r \Delta \alpha_r$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(r-1)^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{2r-1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^4} \left\{ 2 \sum_{r=1}^n (r-1)^3 + \sum_{r=1}^n (r-1)^2 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{3n^3 - 4n^2 + 1}{6n^3}$$

$$\therefore \int_0^1 f d\alpha = \sup \{ L(P, f, \alpha) \} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\therefore \int_0^1 f d\alpha = \int_0^1 f d\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$$

Solved

#### ④ Dirichlet Test

If  $b_n(x)$  is a positive, monotonic decreasing function of  $n$  for each value of  $x$  in  $[a, b]$ , if  $b_n(x)$  tends uniformly to zero for  $a \leq x \leq b$  and if there is a number  $K$  independent of  $x$  and  $n$  such that for all values of  $x$  in  $[a, b]$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{r=1}^n u_r(x) \right| \leq K n ;$$

then the series  $\sum b_n(x) u_n(x)$  is uniformly convergent for  $a \leq x \leq b$ .

Proof Since  $b_n(x)$  tends uniformly to zero, therefore for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find an integer  $N$  (independent of  $x$ ) such that for all values of

of  $x$  in  $[a, b]$

-7-

$$0 < b_n(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \text{ for } n \geq N$$

For such values of  $n$  and any integral values of  $p > 1$ , we have by Abel's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+p} b_r(x) u_r(x) \right| &\leq b_n(x) \max_{q=1, 2, \dots, p} \left| \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+q} u_r(x) \right| \\ &\leq b_n(x) \left\{ \left| \sum_{r=1}^n u_r(x) \right| + \max_{q=1, 2, \dots, p} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{n+q} u_r(x) \right| \right\} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} (h+h) = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Cauchy criterion, the series  $\sum b_n(x) u_r(x)$  converges uniformly for  $x \in [a, b]$ .

Ex. The series  $\sum (-1)^p \frac{x+n}{n^p}$  converges uniformly in every bounded interval, but does not converge absolutely for any value of  $x$ .

(5) The series  $\sum \frac{\cos n\theta}{n^p}$  converges uniformly for all values of  $p > 0$  in the interval  $[\beta, 2\pi - \beta]$  where  $\beta > 0$ .

when  $p > 1$ , then by Weierstrass M Test, the series  $\sum \frac{\cos n\theta}{n^p}$  converges uniformly for all real values of  $\theta$ .

when  $0 < p \leq 1$ , the series  $\sum \frac{\cos n\theta}{n^p}$  uniformly in any interval  $[\beta, 2\pi - \beta]$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . This can be done by Dirichlet test.

Take  $b_n = \frac{1}{n^p}$  and  $u_n = \cos n\theta$

-8-

$\left(\frac{1}{n^p}\right)$  is positive, monotonic decreasing and tending uniformly to zero ( $0 < p \leq 1$ ) and

$$\left| \sum_{r=1}^n u_r \right| = \left| \sum_{r=1}^n \cos^n \theta_r \right| = \left| \frac{\cos(n+1)\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \sin \frac{n\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \right| < \csc \frac{\theta}{2} \quad \forall n$$

Thus all conditions are fulfilled. Hence  $\sum \frac{\cos^n \theta}{n^p}$  converges uniformly for  $p > 0$  in interval  $[\beta, 2\pi - \beta]$ ,  $\beta > 0$

Now taking  $p = 6$ , the given problem is special case of solved one.

⑥ Now for  $|x| < R$ ,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (n-a+a)^n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} a^{n-m} (x-a)^m$$

We wish to change of order summation. To prove its validity, we notice that it is the summation by rows of a double series which if convergent absolutely, will converge by columns as well as to the same sum.

Replacing all quantities by their moduli, and taking all the terms with positive sign, we write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} |a|^{n-m} |x-a|^m$$

~~1. If it is P~~

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|(|x-a|+|a|)$$

which is power series and converges for

$$|x-a|+|a| < R$$

$$\Rightarrow |x-a| < R-|a|$$

Hence for  $|x-a| < R-|a|$ , change the order of summation

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} a^{n-m} x^n \right\} (x-a)^m \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Now let

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + \dots + a_m x^m + \dots + a_n x^n + \dots$$

$$\therefore f'(x) = a_1 + 2a_2 x + 3a_3 x^2 + \dots + m a_n x^{m-1} + \dots + n x^{n-1}$$

$$f''(x) = [2a_2 + 3 \cdot 2 a_3 x + \dots + m(m-1) \underbrace{a_n}_{\frac{a_n}{n} n(n-1)} x^{n-2} + \dots + n(n-1) \underbrace{a_n}_{\frac{a_n}{n} n(n-1)} x^{n-2}] \dots$$

$$f^{(m)}(x) = L^m a_n + (m+1)m(m-1) \dots 3 \cdot 2 a_{m+1} x + \dots$$

$$= L^m \left\{ a_n + \binom{m+1}{m} a_{m+1} x + \binom{m+2}{m} a_{m+2} x^2 + \dots \right\} + x^{(n-1)} \dots (n-m+1) a_n x^{n-m}$$

$$f^{(m)}(a) = L^m \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} a_n a^{n-m} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

Putting the value of (4) in (3), we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(m)}(a)}{L^m} (x-a)^m \quad |x-a| < R-|a|$$

Proved

⑦ We know

$$\tan^{-1}x = x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} \quad -1 < x < 1$$

and

$$(1+x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1 - x^2 + x^4 - x^6 + \dots \quad -1 < x < 1$$

Both series are absolutely convergent in  $]-1, 1[$ , therefore can be multiplied and their Cauchy product is

$$(1+x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tan^{-1}x = x - \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right)x^3 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right)x^5 \dots$$

Integrating

$$\frac{1}{2} (\tan^{-1}x)^2 = \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^4}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{x^6}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) \dots$$

the constant of integration vanishes.

⑧ Let  $f$  be a function of  $C[a, b]$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we shall obtain the inequality for  $|f(t) - (Lnf)(t)|$ .

Begin by selecting  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$$

Now put  $\alpha = \frac{2\|f\|}{\delta^2}$  and let  $t$  be the arbitrary but fixed point of  $[a, b]$ .

If  $|t-x| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(t) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  whereas

$$|t-x| > \delta \Rightarrow |f(t) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\alpha \|f\|}{\delta^2} (t-x)^2 = \alpha \phi_t(x)$$

Thus for all  $x$ , the following is satisfied - 11-

$$-\epsilon - \alpha \phi_f(x) \leq f(t) - f(x) \leq \epsilon + \alpha \phi_f(x)$$

In order to write an inequality on functions, let  $f_0(x) = 1$ . Then we have

$$-\epsilon f_0 - \alpha \phi_f \leq f(t) f_0 - f \leq \epsilon f_0 + \alpha \phi_f$$

By Linearity and monotonicity of  $L_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\epsilon (L_n f_0)(t) - \alpha (L_n \phi_f)(t) &\leq f(t) (L_n f_0)(t) - (L_n f)(t) \\ &\leq \epsilon (L_n f_0)(t) + \alpha (L_n \phi_f)(t) \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$|f(t) (L_n f_0)(t) - (L_n f)(t)| \leq \epsilon \|L_n f_0\| + \alpha (L_n \phi_f)(t) \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - (L_n f)(t)| &\leq |f(t) - f(t) (L_n f_0)(t) + f(t) (L_n f_0)(t) \\ &\quad - (L_n f)(t)| \\ &\leq |f(t)| |1 - (L_n f_0)(t)| + |f(t) (L_n f_0)(t) \\ &\quad - (L_n f)(t)| \end{aligned}$$

Using (3) in (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - (L_n f)(t)| &\leq \|f\| \|f_0 - L_n f_0\| + \epsilon (1 + \|f_0 - L_n f_0\|) \\ &\quad + \alpha (L_n \phi_f)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Sushil  
10/12/13

Ramlin

This completes  
the proof.